

APPLICATION NO.

10/658,901

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

09/09/2003

09/25/2006

7590

Lisa K. Jorgenson

STMicroelectronics, Inc. 1310 Electronics Drive

Carrollton, TX 75006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

02-C-129 3611

EXAMINER

ALAM, SHAHID AL

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Nicholas J. Richardson

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/658,901	RICHARDSON, NICHOLAS J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shahid Al Alam	2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		,
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 June 2006</u> .		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
	٠	
Attachment(s)	•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Applicant's argument, Examiner is withdrawing previous claim rejection under 35 USC § 101 and the drawing objection.

Examiner is entitled to give claim limitations their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. See MPEP 2111 [R-1]

Interpretation of Claims-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation

During patent examination, the pending claims must be 'given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification.' Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecussion and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 162 USPQ 541,550-51 (CCPA 1969).

Greene's teachings of longest prefix match and masked prefix match clearly teaches applicant's claimed limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 13 and 17 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the term "may" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the term are part of the claimed invention.

Page 3

Regarding claims 1, 6 - 8, 12, 13, 17, 20, 21 and 22, the terms "determining" "whether" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the longest prefix match actually comprises an overall longest prefix match and whether the longest prefix match is longer than the longest match input data. Suppose, it is determined that longest prefix match is not an overall longest prefix match or it is no longer than the longest match input data then what happened to the claimed subject matter?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 3 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,631,419 issued to Spencer Greene.

With respect to claim 1, Greene teaches a method for providing cascaded network packet search engines (column 4, lines 49 – 50), comprising:

receiving a search command at one of the network packet search engines, the search command comprising a specific search key (column 6, lines 50 - 53);

determining at the network packet search engine a longest prefix match based on the specific search key;

determining at the network packet search engine whether the longest prefix match comprises an overall longest prefix match among the cascaded network packet search engines (column 4, lines 49-60) such that any of the cascaded network packet search engines may comprise the overall longest matching prefix independently of position relative to the other cascaded network packet search engines (column 7, lines 28-32 and column 4, lines 43-45) and

responding to the search command when the longest prefix match comprises the overall longest prefix match (column 4, lines 49 – 60).

As to claim 3, responding to the search command comprising actively responding to the search command (column 4, lines 49-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,901

Art Unit: 2162

As to claim 4, responding to the search command comprising passively responding to the search command (column 4, lines 49 – 51).

As to claim 5, storing a mock result when the longest prefix match fails to comprise the overall longest prefix match (column 4, lines 43 - 45).

As to claim 6, the one of the network packet search engines comprising a peripheral network packet search engine, determining whether the longest prefix match comprises the overall longest prefix match comprising: receiving longest match input data from an adjacent network packet search engine; comparing the longest match input data to the longest prefix match; and determining that the longest prefix match is longer than the longest match input data (column 4, lines 43 – 45, column 6, lines 50 – 53 and column 7, lines 28 – 32).

As to claim 7, the one of the network packet search engines comprising a central network packet search engine, determining whether the longest prefix match comprises the overall longest prefix match comprising:

receiving first longest match input data from a first adjacent network packet search engine and second longest match input data from a second adjacent network packet search engine (column 6, lines 50 – 53);

comparing the first longest match input data to the longest prefix match and the second longest match input data to the longest prefix match (column 8, lines 47 – 50); and

Application/Control Number: 10/658,901 Page 6

Art Unit: 2162

determining that the longest prefix match is longer than the first longest match input data and longer than the second longest match input data (column 7, lines 18 – column 8, lines 61).

The subject matter of claims 8 - 13, 14 - 16, 17 - 21 and 22 are rejected in the analysis above in claims 1 and 3 - 7 and these claims are rejected on that basis.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid Al Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-4030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 A.M.- 4:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shahid Al Alam Primary Examiner Art Unit 2162

17 September 2006